

Are we there yet? Determining the evidence required to demonstrate *Mycoplasma bovis* eradication from Aotearoa

Amy Burroughs, Principal Adviser Strategic Epidemiology



Biosecurity New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries Manatū Ahu Matua







- Background
- Overview of Programme
- Surveillance
- Progress
- Phases to eradication
- Tools
- Summary



Bacterial disease of cattle

- Widespread internationally
- Mastitis, arthritis, respiratory disease, abortion, otitis media
- Often refractory to antibiotic treatment
- No effective vaccine
- Spreads directly from animal contact via bodily fluids e.g. nasal secretions, infected milk
- Not zoonotic meat and milk can safely enter the human food chain

Mycoplasma bovis in New Zealand



• First detected South Canterbury July 2017

- Estimated \$1.2 billion cost to industry over first 10 years if no action taken
- Joint decision with industry to attempt phased eradication in May 2018
- 3 goals for the *M. bovis* Eradication Programme:
 - eradicate
 - reduce the impact of the Programme on farmers, families and communities
 - apply the lessons learnt to further strengthen the biosecurity system.

Mycoplasma bovis surveillance



Network Surveillance



Background Surveillance bulk tank milk screening



Background Surveillance beef and drystock screening



Background passive surveillance – report cases

Surveillance challenges

- 1. Clinical disease rarely observed
- 2. Diagnostic test performance
- 3. Tracing cattle and milk movements
- 4. Cannot achieve a census





Delimiting surveillance looks at the places disease is likely to be

Background surveillance looks at places where disease isn't likely to be present

Progress: Epidemic curve



Met Case Definition

Three phases to eradicate Mycoplasma bovis



Eradication: How much and for how long?

No guidelines or standards
Passive surveillance lacks sensitivity
Background surveillance is key

Scenario Tree Model



92.35% (91.91% to 92.81%)

Current confidence of freedom

88% (87.18% to 88.22%)

Prior probability of freedom

SSC	SSC Se Est. (%, YTD)	SSC Se 95% CI (lower)	SSC Se 95% CI (upper)
BBS	3.32	3.3	3.34
BTM	35.03	31.84	35.34
FIS	1.9	1.89	1.92
MPPS	18.94	18.77	19.12
PASSIVE	0	0	0
Surveillance Region	Se Est. (%, YTD)	Se 95% CI (lower)	Se 95% CI (upper)
LOW	9.68	8.73	9.79
MEDIUM	7.26	6.72	7.35
HIGH	40.39	38.17	40.68



Surveillance Region	SSC	Surveillance category		

Surveillance region	Surveillance year	Herds tested	Surveillance proportion
HIGH	1	1934	0.19
LOW	1	7342	0.73
MEDIUM	1	765	0.08
нідн	2	5281	0.27
LOW	2	12516	0.63
MEDIUM	2	1946	0.1
1-6 of 12 rows			Previous 1 2 Next





AADIS-Mbovis-NZ

File Control Navigate Layers Config Database Reports 寒⊑≊/∰≫☆种袋/⊙Ш∠≘♥ H 🗳 🔎 🕾 🔿 🏠 📩 1 6 å 37 217 km model status New Plymouth Napiel Hawers currently infected herds: 34 erston North detection mode: fixed post outbreak: \$0 curr g1 g2 g3 g4 g5 g6 g7 loss of trade: \$0 herd prevalence surv visits completed: 0 susceptible herds: 56873 surv visits in progress: 0 infected herds: 34 $\begin{array}{l} (0.00 < \text{prevalence} \leq 0.05) \\ (0.05 < \text{prevalence} \leq 0.10) \\ (0.10 < \text{prevalence} \leq 0.15) \end{array}$ Dunedin $(0.15 < prevalence \le 0.20)$ (0.20 < prevalence ≤ 0.25) $(0.25 < prevalence \le 0.30)$ $(0.30 < prevalence \le 0.35)$ $(0.35 < prevalence \le 0.40)$ $(0.40 < prevalence \le 0.45)$ Invercargili 0.45 < prevalence ≤ 0.50) outbreak size (number of infected farms) free teams: 5 surv 3 cull 5 disp 10 disf 20 vacc visits completed: 0 cull 0 disp 0 disf vacc visits completed: 0 visits in progress: 0 cull 0 disp 0 disf busy teams: 0 surv 0 cuil 0 disp 0 disf 0 vacc vacc visits in progress: 0 legen · SUSCI C Infect resources: surv cull disposal disfinfect vacc CP Ops visits: cuil disposal disfiniection vaccination visits and vaccine bank levels Lat, Los

Model comparison

Scenario Tree Model



AADIS-Mbovis-NZ



Proportion of outbreaks that remained undetected after 2, 3 and 4 years of background surveillance, by seed surveillance region



- Working in an environment without guidelines
- Existing surveillance
- Eradication target
- Used two distinct models to inform surveillance plan for eradication
- Model agreement
- Provides confidence

Acknowledgements

- *Mycoplasma bovis* Eradication Programme (MPI, DairyNZ and Beef + LambNZ)
 - Alex Crosbie
- OSPRI
- DCANZ and DITAG
- Richard Bradhurst, University of Melbourne
- Distinguished Professor Nigel French and Professor Patrick Biggs at Massey University, the University of Melbourne and Quadram Institute
- AsureQuality and Veritag/SVS
- MilkTestNZ
- New Zealand cattle farmers, veterinarians and the wider industry













